Belief, Perception and the Conditions for Change

What clinical hypnosis can teach us about expectancy, the meaning of experience, and the outcomes that matter

By Brian Glenn | An essay for fellow hypnotherapists

A client arrives with a problem, an appointment and perhaps £100 in their hand. Before we have asked a question or delivered a single suggestion, something significant has already happened: they have taken an action that expresses at least the possibility of change. They may not be certain that we can help. They may be fearful or sceptical. Nevertheless, they have made a decision to try. That decision deserves more attention than it usually receives.

This article explores a straightforward proposition: belief, perception, expectation and the relationship between therapist and client are not decorative extras in therapy. They can be part of the conditions in which meaningful change occurs. At the same time, our responsibility is to distinguish genuine improvement from the stories we tell ourselves about why it happened.

1. The event is not the whole story

Imagine two people who, as children, experience similar frightening or humiliating events. Years later, one appears to have integrated the experience into their life without persistent distress. The other struggles with fear, avoidance, shame or a sense of being unsafe. The outward event may look similar, yet its enduring personal significance may be profoundly different.

Perception matters. So do the conclusions a child draws: “I am powerless”, “I am unlovable”, “People cannot be trusted”, or “I must always be on guard”. These are not necessarily rational assessments. They may have been a young person’s best available attempt to make sense of an overwhelming experience.

Still, it would be a mistake to say that perception is the only difference. Biology, developmental stage, prior experiences, social support, the nature and repetition of the event and many other factors can affect long-term outcomes. Trauma responses are not evidence of weak character, inadequate belief or a failure to think positively.

The clinically important question is not simply “What happened?” but also “What meaning does that event carry now, and how is that meaning affecting the person’s present life?”

2. A belief can outlive the experience that produced it

A child who concludes “I am not good enough” may carry that belief into adulthood long after the circumstances that fostered it have disappeared. The belief can influence attention, relationships, decisions, emotional reactions and expectations of the future. It may also interact with stress physiology, sleep and bodily symptoms.

This is not to suggest that every illness is created by belief. It is to recognise that interpretations and expectations can influence aspects of human functioning. We see this in ordinary life: anticipation can

trigger anxiety before anything threatening has happened; a reassuring explanation can reduce distress even before circumstances change.

> ***We cannot change a person's past. But we may be able to change what that past means to them today.***

An adult may come to recognise: "What happened was wrong, but it did not prove I was worthless." The event remains part of their biography. What can shift is the emotional significance, the self-belief and the anticipation of what comes next. Sometimes the change seems modest; occasionally its practical consequences are dramatic.

A useful distinction is that changing the meaning of a memory does not require claiming to erase the memory, recover a perfectly accurate hidden account or rewrite history. Memory is reconstructive and suggestible. Therapists should be especially cautious about confidently interpreting imagery or hypnotically elicited recollections as historical fact.

3. The strongest belief may shape the experience

In the consulting room, one belief may dominate how a person interprets events. Someone convinced that rejection is inevitable may notice every slight and discount every expression of warmth. Their expectation can affect behaviour in ways that sometimes help to recreate the very outcome they fear.

That does not make belief all-powerful. The strongest belief does not repeal biology or physical reality. But beliefs can exert powerful influence over what we notice, how we feel, how we act and which possibilities we allow ourselves to consider.

For the hypnotherapist, the practical opportunity is not to impose a replacement belief. It is to help clients examine old conclusions, encounter alternative experiences and discover a more useful, credible way of understanding themselves.

4. The client's first act of belief

Consider the client with £100 in their hand. That payment is not proof of faith in the therapist; people also pay because they are desperate, curious or willing to take a chance. But choosing a practitioner, booking the session, travelling to the appointment and investing time and money are meaningful behaviours. At a minimum, the client has acted on the possibility that help is available.

The process may therefore begin before formal hypnosis. A clear website, a respectful first conversation, thoughtful preparation, the therapist's manner and a realistic explanation of what to expect all contribute to the therapeutic context.

The fee itself may also affect a client's perception of credibility or commitment, but cost is not a reliable measure of quality and charging more does not guarantee better outcomes. Financial commitment should never be confused with consent to exaggerated claims.

> ***A client does not arrive as a blank slate. They bring expectations into the room — and we become part of those expectations.***

5. Two sets of beliefs meet in the therapy room

The client may believe, “This person could help me.” The therapist may believe, “I have the skills to help this person.” These positions can be mutually reinforcing. Calm confidence, attentive listening and a convincing therapeutic rationale may help the client engage with difficult work. Equally, uncertainty, poor communication or a dismissive attitude can undermine trust.

Therapist confidence is valuable when it is grounded in competence and humility. It becomes dangerous when it turns into certainty, when questions are discouraged or when a lack of improvement is blamed on the client’s insufficient belief.

The therapeutic alliance — shared goals, agreement about the work and the quality of the relationship — has a consistent association with outcomes across psychotherapy research. This does not mean alliance is the only active ingredient, or that a warm relationship by itself treats every problem. It does mean that the relationship deserves serious professional attention.

6. Suggestion, expectancy and the body

Research into placebo responses demonstrates that treatment expectations, learned associations and the surrounding context can affect genuine symptoms and biological processes. Pain is one of the best studied examples: expectancy and conditioning can engage endogenous pain-modulating systems. “Placebo” need not mean “imaginary”. A person’s reduced pain can be real even when the explanation they were given is incomplete or mistaken.

There are limits. A change in reported symptoms does not by itself demonstrate that an infection has cleared, a tumour has disappeared or tissue damage has reversed. Spontaneous improvement, the natural course of illness, other treatments and measurement error can all complicate what appears to be a cure.

Clinical hypnosis can use focused attention, imagination, suggestion and expectancy to support useful experiences of change. But there is no reason to regard expectation as an exclusive explanation of hypnosis, nor to assume every client responds in the same way. The sensible stance is curiosity accompanied by proportionate claims.

7. Faith healing: a genuine effect, an uncertain explanation

Faith healing illustrates the distinction vividly. A compelling ritual may combine authority, emotional intensity, social reassurance, touch, focused attention, suggestion and powerful expectations. Some participants may genuinely feel less pain or distress afterwards. It is entirely plausible that recognised psychological and physiological processes contribute to such improvements.

What those improvements do not establish is the healer’s supernatural account. Nor should reports of improvement be taken as reliable evidence that serious disease has been cured. The same discipline applies to hypnosis: a striking outcome is not automatic proof of our preferred theory.

This is not an argument for deceit, theatrical manipulation or grandiose promises. It is an argument for recognising that context and meaning can affect people — and for using that knowledge ethically, transparently and without discouraging evidence-based medical care.

8. Our own beliefs are part of the problem

Therapists are as susceptible to confirmation bias as anyone else. We remember the client who made a remarkable recovery, interpret ambiguous feedback as success and find explanations for the cases in which the approach failed. The more personally invested we are in a model, the easier this becomes.

If ten clients attend and two improve dramatically, those two stories may be compelling. They are still not enough to establish whether our intervention caused the improvement or whether it will help the next ten people. The more extraordinary our interpretation, the more carefully we should test it.

A useful professional habit is to ask: What else might explain this? What would count as evidence against my belief? Are changes sustained? Can clients demonstrate meaningful improvements in everyday life? Could improvement have occurred anyway?

A genuine result does not automatically validate the explanation we attach to it.

It is possible to be delighted by someone's progress while remaining uncertain about the mechanism. In fact, such uncertainty can protect the very curiosity that makes a practitioner better.

9. Does the mechanism matter?

From the perspective of a client who has been trapped by an incapacitating fear for decades and can now live more freely, the most pressing question may be wonderfully simple: "Has my life improved?" They did not come to fund a debate about competing theories of change.

That practical priority deserves respect. It is entirely reasonable for the therapist to value relief, agency and a better quality of life above winning an argument about technique. At the same time, understanding what reliably helps, for whom and under what conditions remains important for safe practice, informed consent and responsible training.

We can hold both truths together: the lived result matters enormously, and the explanation remains open to scrutiny. We need not understand every mechanism before we help someone; neither should successful anecdotes exempt our methods from evaluation.

10. Creating conditions rather than claiming miracles

A practitioner cannot manufacture change on demand. Clients bring their own histories, capacities, ambivalence, strengths and circumstances. What we can do is offer conditions that may make change more possible: safety, collaboration, credibility, well-judged suggestions, an opportunity to revise old meanings and practical support for carrying new learning into daily life.

Sometimes that means helping a person revise a painful conclusion about the past. Sometimes it means less dramatic work: tolerating uncertainty, sleeping better, behaving differently or learning that an uncomfortable sensation does not always signal danger. Such changes can be profoundly important without being miraculous or universal.

Good practice also means recognising limits: screening appropriately, avoiding unsupported claims, coordinating with healthcare professionals when indicated, and never suggesting that persistent symptoms are evidence the client has failed to believe hard enough.

11. A useful philosophy for the practising hypnotherapist

The position that emerges is neither cynicism nor unquestioning faith. It is a willingness to use belief and expectation thoughtfully, to respect the client's own experience and to remain genuinely open to being wrong.

Believe in your ability to help — but do not confuse confidence with certainty. Welcome the client's hope — but do not exploit it. Celebrate improvement — but do not mistake it for proof of every theory you hold. Seek evidence — but do not overlook the human meaning of change.

> ***Perhaps the therapist's greatest contribution is not to create change, but to help create the conditions in which the client can make it.***

And if an enduring belief about the past loosens its grip, allowing someone to experience their present differently and approach their future with greater freedom, it is easy to understand why that might feel like a miracle.

A miracle? Maybe. A meaningful therapeutic outcome? Quite possibly. The client's life, rather than our favourite explanation, should remain at the centre of the work.

Further reading

Benedetti, F. (2006). Placebo analgesia. Neurological Sciences, 27(Suppl 2), S100–S102. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16688609/

Benedetti, F., Rainero, I., & Pollo, A. (2003). New insights into placebo analgesia. Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology, 16(5), 515–519. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17021505/

Colloca, L., & Miller, F. G. (2011). How placebo responses are formed: A learning perspective. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 366, 1859–1869. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0398

Flückiger, C., Del Re, A. C., Wampold, B. E., & Horvath, A. O. (2018). The alliance in adult psychotherapy: A meta-analytic synthesis. Psychotherapy, 55(4), 316–340. https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000172

Note: Hypothetical examples in this article illustrate principles; they are not accounts of individual clients. The article is reflective professional commentary, not a substitute for clinical guidance.